

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MIDLAND/ODESSA DIVISION

JOE HAND PROMOTIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

- vs -

CARMEN ARREOLA LOPEZ,
a/k/a CARMEN GOMEZ LOPEZ,
d/b/a RUDY'S SPORTS BAR & GRILL and
d/b/a RUDY'S SPORTS BAR, and
RUDOLPHO LOPEZ,
d/b/a RUDY'S SPORTS BAR & GRILL and
d/b/a RUDY'S SPORTS BAR,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 7:18-cv-00195

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, JOE HAND PROMOTIONS, INC., by and through its attorney, for its Complaint against Defendants, hereby alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, JOE HAND PROMOTIONS, INC. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Pennsylvania with its principal place of business at 407 East Pennsylvania Blvd., Feasterville, PA 19053. Plaintiff held the exclusive commercial distribution rights to the broadcast of *Miguel Cotto vs. Canelo Alvarez*, including all undercard bouts and the entire television broadcast, telecast nationwide on November 21, 2015 ("Program").

2. Defendant, CARMEN ARREOLA LOPEZ

- a. is an individual who resides in the State of Texas;
- b. is also known as "Carmen Gomez Lopez";

- c. may be served at 1114 E. Pecan Ave., Midland, Texas 79705 or wherever found;
- d. conducts business as “Rudy’s Sports Bar & Grill”;
- e. conducted business as “Rudy’s Sports Bar & Grill” on the date of the Program;
- f. conducts business as “Rudy’s Sports Bar”;
- g. conducted business as “Rudy’s Sports Bar” on the date of the Program;
- h. owns, operates, maintains, and controls the commercial establishment known as Rudy’s Sports Bar & Grill/Rudy’s Sports Bar located at 409 E. Scharbauer Dr., Midland, Texas 79705 (the “Establishment”);
- i. owned, operated, maintained, and controlled the Establishment on the date of the Program;
- j. had a right and ability to supervise the activities of the Establishment on the date of the Program; and
- k. had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of the Establishment on the date of the Program.

3. Defendant, RUDOLPHO LOPEZ

- a. is an individual who resides in the State of Texas;
- b. may be served at 1114 E. Pecan Ave., Midland, Texas 79705 or wherever found;
- c. conducts business as “Rudy’s Sports Bar & Grill”;
- d. conducted business as “Rudy’s Sports Bar & Grill” on the date of the Program;
- e. conducts business as “Rudy’s Sports Bar”;
- f. conducted business as “Rudy’s Sports Bar” on the date of the Program;
- g. owns, operates, maintains, and controls the Establishment;
- h. owned, operated, maintained, and controlled the Establishment on the date of the Program;

- i. had a right and ability to supervise the activities of the Establishment on the date of the Program; and
- j. had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of the Establishment on the date of the Program.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) as this civil action is brought under the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 553 (generally referred to as “Cable Piracy”) and 47 U.S.C. § 605 (generally referred to as “Satellite Piracy”).

5. Venue is proper in this District because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this District and/or Defendants reside in this District.

FACTS

6. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference each and every allegation and averment set forth in the above paragraphs of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if the same were more fully set forth at length herein.

7. Plaintiff is a company that specializes in distributing and licensing premier sporting events to commercial locations such as bars, restaurants, lounges, clubhouses, and similar establishments. Over the years, Plaintiff has invested a considerable amount of time and money in building a loyal customer base and retaining customers.

8. By contract, Plaintiff was granted the exclusive right to license and distribute the Program to commercial establishments throughout the United States. The Program broadcast originated via satellite uplink and was subsequently re-transmitted interstate to cable systems and satellite television companies via satellite signal.

9. Plaintiff entered into subsequent agreements with various commercial establishments in the State of Texas that, in exchange for a fee, allowed them to exhibit the Program to their patrons. In consideration of the aforementioned agreements, Plaintiff expended substantial monies to market, advertise, promote, administer, and transmit the Program broadcast to those establishments in the State of Texas.

10. Prior to the broadcast of the Program, Defendants could have contracted with Plaintiff and purchased authorization to exhibit the Program in their Establishment for a fee. However, Defendants chose not to contract with Plaintiff or pay a fee to Plaintiff to obtain the proper license or authorization. At no time did Plaintiff give Defendants license, permission, or authority to receive and exhibit the Program in their Establishment.

11. By unauthorized satellite transmission or, alternatively, by unauthorized receipt over a cable system, Defendants willfully intercepted and/or received the interstate communication of the Program or assisted in such actions. Defendants then unlawfully transmitted, divulged, and published said communication, or assisted in unlawfully transmitting, divulging, and publishing said communication to patrons in their Establishment.

12. Without authorization, license, or permission to do so from Plaintiff, Defendants exhibited the Program to the patrons within their Establishment.

13. Defendants pirated Plaintiff's licensed exhibition of the Program and infringed upon Plaintiff's exclusive rights while avoiding proper authorization and payment to Plaintiff. Defendants' actions were committed willfully and with the purpose and intent to secure a commercial advantage and private financial gain.

14. At the time of the wrongful conduct described herein, Defendants' agents, servants, and employees were in fact Defendants' agents, servants, and employees and acting within the scope of their employment and authority as Defendants' agents, servants, and employees.

SATELLITE PIRACY/CABLE PIRACY

15. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference each and every allegation and averment set forth in the above paragraphs of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if the same were more fully set forth at length herein.

16. Defendants' wrongful actions, in connection with the unauthorized exhibition of the Program, as described above, violate 47 U.S.C. § 605. By reason of Defendants' violation of 47 U.S.C. § 605, Plaintiff has standing and capacity to bring a private right of action.

17. Plead in the alternative, Defendants' wrongful actions, in connection with the unauthorized exhibition of the Program, as described above, violate 47 U.S.C. § 553, and by virtue of same, Plaintiff has standing and capacity to bring a private right of action.

18. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment in its favor and against each Defendant for statutory damages, in the discretion of this Court, plus interest, costs, and attorney's fees, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605 or, alternatively, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 553.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against each Defendant as follows:

- a. for statutory damages, in the discretion of this Court, of up to the maximum amount of \$110,000.00 for the willful violation of 47 U.S.C. § 605 or, alternatively, for statutory damages, in the discretion of this Court, of up to the maximum amount of \$60,000.00 for the willful violation of 47 U.S.C. § 553;
- b. for Plaintiff's attorney's fees, interest, and costs of suit pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(B)(iii) or, alternatively, pursuant to § 553(c)(2)(C); and
- c. for such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMIE KING, P.C.

/s/ Jamie King
Jamie King
Attorney-in-Charge
State Bar No. 24043755
P.O. Box 5757
Kingwood, Texas 77325
(832) 584-0106 Telephone
(888) 247-0443 Facsimile
jamie@jamiekingpc.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF,
JOE HAND PROMOTIONS, INC.